

## Questions & Answers #2

### Construction Management & Inspection Services RFP for Various Roadway, Bike, and Pedestrian Improvement Project(s)

Q.2.1. We note that the Q&A #1 document lists the anticipated durations for each project and that the City anticipates issuing an NTP for the selected CM/Inspection firm in August 2025. Does the City know when construction for the three projects will begin? In evaluating our staff's availability, should we assume that construction for each project will begin in August or September of this year?

A.2.1. The City anticipates issuing the NTP on August 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025 for all three projects.

Q.2.2. Task 2.4 lists performing a constructability review of the project's 100% PS&E packages that we understand have already been issued for bid. Can you please clarify.

A.2.2. The City is asking the selected CM firm to identify potential field implementation challenges, and flag ambiguities that could affect construction.

Q.2.3. Is it acceptable to provide our proposed staff's 2-page resumes in an appendix to our proposal staff and subconsultants since the proposals are limited to 15 pages?

A.2.3. Key personnel resumes are required in the technical proposal. Non-key personnel resumes may be included in the appendix section.

Q.2.4. Page 10 of the City's RFP, Technical Proposal Content states "*Proposers shall include the most recent US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Form 10-K, Form 10-Q or equivalent document that would allow the City to assess the proposers financial condition. The form shall be included in the appendix.*" As a closely-held California C Corporation, we are not required to prepare and file SEC Forms 10-K or 10-Q but we can provide equivalent documentation on our firm's financial condition. Because this information includes sensitive information, will the City allow this information to be provided in a separately-sealed document that is only viewed by City staff that are directly involved with reviewing and ranking firms?

A.2.4. The City wants to see evidence of the proposing firm's financial viability during the execution of the contract. This could be in the form of a letter from the Firm's CPA stating that the firm is financially viable and not subject to any liens of encumbrances which would adversely affect the Firm's fiscal viability. Please include the evidence in the Appendix as it will not be count in the page limit.

Q.2.5. Task 3.20 of the City's RFP lists the scope of services to be provided include:  
"*Review Project Traffic Control Plan(s) prepared by the Contractor to determine*

## Questions & Answers #2

### Construction Management & Inspection Services RFP for Various Roadway, Bike, and Pedestrian Improvement Project(s)

*compliance with the Project contract documents. Provide your review for the Project Traffic Control Plan(s) to the City Project Manager for final review and approval.” Can you clarify if the CM firm is required to review and approve the contractor’s TCP or will the CM firm be responsible for receiving and processing the contractor’s TCP as a submittal and the TCP will be reviewed / approved by the City’s Traffic Engineer?*

A.2.5. The CM firm’s role is to receive the TCP from the contractor, analyze it in accordance with the Project contract documents and California MUTCD requirements, and provide recommendations or markups as needed. Then, the City will do a final review and approval.

Q.2.6. Task 3.21 of the City’s RFP lists the scope of services to be provided include: *“Provide Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)/Water Pollution Control Plan (WPCP) services including inspections and reporting for the project. Oversee the implementation of the SWPPP/WPCP, including oversight of the monitoring/sampling, weather and event tracking requirements, visual monitoring, inspections, and checklists.”* Can you clarify if the CM firm is responsible for preparing the SWPPP documents for each project and also functioning as the Qualified SWPPP Practitioner (QSP) for each of the projects? The SWPPPs are typically prepared by the Designer Team or are prepared by a subcontractor to the General Contractor (and they in turn then perform the functions of the QSP). The CM firm provides general oversight and confirmation that the SWPPP requirements being met.

A.2.6. The Contractor will be required to provide and implement SWPPP/Water Pollution Control. We are requesting consulting firms submitting a proposal to include SWPPP services that will oversee the Contractor’s SWPPP implementation.

Q.2.7. Who is the Architect/Civil Engineer?

A.2.7. City of Manteca Engineering staff designed the Wawona Street and Shasta Park Improvement Projects. Sanbell designed the Safe Routes to School Project.

Q.2.8. Is there a General Contractor already selected? If so, who is the Contractor? If not, when is the timeframe of contractor bidding?

Questions & Answers #2

Construction Management & Inspection Services RFP for  
Various Roadway, Bike, and Pedestrian Improvement Project(s)

A.2.8. George Reed, Inc. is the apparent low bidder for the Wawona Street project. Shasta Park project will have their bidding on July 17<sup>th</sup>, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. and Safe Routes project bidding will begin on July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.

Q.2.9. When is construction scheduled to begin?

A.2.9. Refer to A.2.1